



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,723	02/25/2002	Nigel D. Young	GB010051	8250
24737	7590	11/17/2004	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				MANDALA, VICTOR A
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2826		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/08/04 723

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20041103

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The Application was remanded by the Board of Appeals to clarify the status of seven sample articles, which were cited in the Applicant's Reply Brief, filed on 3/9/04, due to the Applicant requesting for reconsideration. The examiner has reconsidered the seven articles and will ~~know~~ be entered. The examiner finds the seven articles to be a repeat of the Applicant's arguments, which the examiner continues to disagree upon. The seven articles define the definitions of electrodes and pixels in the art of tft and lcds, where the examiner has previously argued that the claimed matter does not teach tfts and lcds, hence the definitions of electrodes and pixels are defined by one having skill in a broader art and not by the narrowed one the Applicant is persistant on. The Applicant still has not shown any proof of definitions for electrodes and pixels outside of the ~~tft and led~~ narrowed technology, thus the rejection still stands.

NATHAN J. FLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800